Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/728,483	MASON, TIMOTHY L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jared W. Newton	3634
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) Jared W. Newton (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Frederick J. Dorchak (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Double Patenting Rejection of Claim 11		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 4, 11		
Prior art documents discussed: US Patent No. 6,877,618		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
RICHARD E. CHILCOT, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
Mula		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed the Attorney that Claims 1, 3, and 4, as amended, were in condition for allowance except for the repositioning of section (e) of Claim 1 (see Examiner Amendment). The Attorney agreed to cancel Claim 11, which was rejectable under Obvious-Type Double Patenting with US Patent No. 6,877,618.